

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 20, 2004
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S030402

PEOPLE v. TULLY (RICHARD C.)
Extension of time granted

to June 21, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only one further extension totaling about 40 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S043187

PEOPLE v. RIGGS (BILLY R.)
Extension of time granted

to August 17, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief.

S064337

PEOPLE v. ROGERS (RAMON)
Extension of time granted

to June 29, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Kent Barkhurst's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 6/29/2004. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S119066

B137034 Second Appellate District,
B117225 Division Four

PEOPLE v. SALAZAR
Extension of time granted

to June 16, 2004 for appellant to serve and file the answer brief on the merits.

S120348

ANDREWS (JESSE) ON H.C.
Extension of time granted

to June 24, 2004 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. Extension is granted based upon

counsel Michael Burt's representation that he anticipates filing that document by 6/24/2004. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S123149

CLEVELAND ON H.C.
Extension of time granted

to June 14, 2004 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Joseph P. Lee's representation that he anticipates filing that document by mid-8/2004.

S122923

LOCKYER v. CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Order filed

The Attorney General's request filed on May 19, 2004, to file a supplemental letter brief after oral argument addressing the supplemental submission from respondents filed on May 17, 2004, is denied without prejudice to the Attorney General's renewal of the request after oral argument, should the Attorney General conclude at that time that a supplemental response is necessary.